

REPORT OF THE AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS AUDIT EXAMINATION OF THE GRANT COUNTY SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 2000 TAXES

July 9, 2001

EDWARD B. HATCHETT, JR. AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS WWW.KYAUDITOR.NET

144 CAPITOL ANNEX FRANKFORT, KY 40601 TELE. (502) 564-5841 FAX (502) 564-2912

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

AUDIT EXAMINATION OF THE GRANT COUNTY SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 2000 TAXES

July 9, 2001

The Auditor of Public Accounts has completed the audit of the Sheriff's Settlement - 2000 taxes for Grant County Sheriff as of July 9, 2001. We have issued an unqualified opinion on the financial statement taken as a whole. Based upon the audit work performed, the financial statement is presented fairly in all material respects.

Financial Condition:

The Sheriff collected net taxes of \$6,321,684 for the districts for 2000 taxes. The Sheriff distributed taxes of \$6,319,265 to the districts for 2000 Taxes. Taxes of \$2,672 are due to the districts from the Sheriff and refunds of \$1,551 are due to the Sheriff from the taxing districts.

Debt Obligations:
None.
Report Comments:
None.
Deposits:
The Sheriff's deposits were insured and collateralized by bank securities or bonds.
Subsequent Events:
None.

<u>CONTENTS</u> PAGE

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT	1
SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 2000 TAXES	3
Notes To Financial Statement	5
REPORT ON COMPLIANCE AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL	
OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL	
STATEMENT PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS	9



EDWARD B. HATCHETT, JR. AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

To the People of Kentucky
Honorable Paul E. Patton, Governor
T. Kevin Flanery, Secretary
Finance and Administration Cabinet
Dana Mayton, Secretary, Revenue Cabinet
Honorable Darrell Link, Grant County Judge/Executive
Honorable Randy Middleton, Grant County Sheriff
Members of the Grant County Fiscal Court

Independent Auditor's Report

We have audited the Grant County Sheriff's Settlement - 2000 Taxes as of July 9, 2001. This tax settlement is the responsibility of the Grant County Sheriff. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the financial statement based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the Audit Guide for Sheriff's Tax Settlements issued by the Auditor of Public Accounts, Commonwealth of Kentucky. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statement is free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statement. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As described in Note 1, the Sheriff prepares his financial statement on a prescribed basis of accounting that demonstrates compliance with the modified cash basis and laws of Kentucky, which is a comprehensive basis of accounting other than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

In our opinion, the accompanying financial statement referred to above presents fairly, in all material respects, the Grant County Sheriff's taxes charged, credited, and paid as of July 9, 2001, in conformity with the basis of accounting described in the preceding paragraph.

To the People of Kentucky
Honorable Paul E. Patton, Governor
T. Kevin Flanery, Secretary
Finance and Administration Cabinet
Dana Mayton, Secretary, Revenue Cabinet
Honorable Darrell Link, Grant County Judge/Executive
Honorable Randy Middleton, Grant County Sheriff
Members of the Grant County Fiscal Court

In accordance with <u>Government Auditing Standards</u>, we have also issued our report dated November 2, 2001, on our consideration of the Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with <u>Government Auditing Standards</u> and should be read in conjunction with this report in considering the results of our audit.

Respectfully submitted,

Edward B. Hatchett, Jr. Auditor of Public Accounts

Audit fieldwork completed - November 2, 2001

GRANT COUNTY RANDY MIDDLETON, SHERIFF SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 2000 TAXES

July 9, 2001

~			4
S	nec	:12	

				Special	Ciai				
<u>Charges</u>	Co	unty Taxes	Tax	ing Districts	Sc	hool Taxes	St	ate Taxes	
P. 15.	Φ.	024 422	Φ.	5 00,000	Φ.	2002060	ф	044.551	
Real Estate	\$	934,422	\$	598,989	\$	2,883,868	\$	844,771	
Tangible Personal Property		129,478		46,941		211,420		160,127	
Intangible Personal Property								37,583	
Fire Protection		1,533							
Increased Through Erroneous									
Assessments		40		25		115		36	
Franchise Corporation		239,789		105,391		490,771			
Additional Billings		262		167		769		249	
Bank Franchises		45,811							
Penalties		7,779		4,825		22,788		8,109	
Adjusted to Sheriff's Receipt		3		16				10	
Gross Chargeable to Sheriff	\$	1,359,117	\$	756,354	\$	3,609,731	\$	1,050,885	
Gross Chargewest to Sherin	4	1,00>,111	_ Ψ	700,00		2,00>,721		1,000,000	
<u>Credits</u>									
Exonerations	\$	2,113	\$	1,348	\$	6,443	\$	1,898	
Discounts		16,363		9,394		45,100		15,334	
Delinquents:									
Real Estate		23,316		14,938		70,448		21,063	
Tangible Personal Property		1,011		366		1,563		1,444	
Uncollected Franchise		1,435		571		2,724			
Total Credits	\$	44,238	\$	26,617	\$	126,278	\$	39,739	
Net Tax Yield	\$	1,314,879	\$	729,737	\$	3,483,453	\$	1,011,146	
Less: Commissions (a)	Ψ	56,170	Ψ	31,014	Ψ	87,086	Ψ	43,261	
Less. Commissions (a)		30,170		31,014		67,000	-	45,201	
Net Taxes Due	\$	1,258,709	\$	698,723	\$	3,396,367	\$	967,885	
Taxes Paid		1,259,545		698,940		3,393,153		967,627	
Refunds		226		151		694		227	
Due District or (Refund Due Sheriff)		44.0 ***		(b)		(c)			
as of Completion of Fieldwork	\$	(1,062)	\$	(368)	\$	2,520	\$	31	

(a), (b), and (c) – See Page 4

GRANT COUNTY RANDY MIDDLETON, SHERIFF SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 2000 TAXES July 9, 2001 (Continued)

(a) Commissions:

10% on \$ 10,000 4.25% on \$ 3,045,762 2.5% on \$ 3,483,453

(b) Special Taxing Districts:

Library District	\$ (227)
Health District	(100)
Extension District	(77)
Soil Conservation District	121
Mental Health District	 (85)
Due Districts or (Refund Due Sheriff)	\$ (368)

(c) School Districts:

Grant County Board of Education Williamstown Graded School	\$ 1,863 657
Due Districts	\$ 2,520

GRANT COUNTY NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT

July 9, 2001

Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

A. Fund Accounting

The Sheriff's office tax collection duties are limited to acting as an agent for assessed property owners and taxing districts. A fund is used to account for the collection and distribution of taxes. A fund is a separate accounting entity with a self-balancing set of accounts. Fund accounting is designed to demonstrate legal compliance and to aid financial management by segregating transactions related to certain government functions or activities.

B. Basis of Accounting

The financial statement has been prepared on a modified cash basis of accounting. Basis of accounting refers to when charges, credits, and taxes paid are reported in the settlement statement. It relates to the timing of measurements regardless of the measurement focus.

Charges are sources of revenue which are recognized in the tax period in which they become available and measurable. Credits are reductions of revenue which are recognized when there is proper authorization. Taxes paid are uses of revenue which are recognized when distributions are made to the taxing districts and others.

C. Cash and Investments

At the direction of the fiscal court, KRS 66.480 authorizes the Sheriff's office to invest in the following, including but not limited to, obligations of the United States and of its agencies and instrumentalities, obligations and contracts for future delivery or purchase of obligations backed by the full faith and credit of the United States, obligations of any corporation of the United States government, bonds or certificates of indebtedness of this state, and certificates of deposit issued by or other interest-bearing accounts of any bank or savings and loan institution which are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) or which are collateralized, to the extent uninsured, by any obligation permitted by KRS 41.240(4).

Note 2. Deposits

The Sheriff maintained deposits of public funds with depository institutions insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC). According to KRS 66.480(1)(d) and KRS 41.240(4), the depository institution should pledge or provide sufficient collateral which, together with FDIC insurance, equals or exceeds the amount of public funds on deposit at all times. In order to be valid against the FDIC in the event of failure or insolvency of the depository institution, this pledge or provision of collateral should be evidenced by an agreement between the Sheriff and the depository institution, signed by both parties, that is (a) in writing, (b) approved by the board of directors of the depository institution or its loan committee, which approval must be reflected in the minutes of the board or committee, and (c) an official record of the depository institution. These requirements were met, and as of July 9, 2001, the Sheriff's deposits were fully insured or collateralized at a 100% level with collateral of either pledged securities held by the Sheriff's agent in the Sheriff's name, or provided surety bond which named the Sheriff as beneficiary/obligee on the bond.

GRANT COUNTY NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT July 9, 2001 (Continued)

Note 3. Tax Collection Period

Property Taxes

The real and personal property tax assessments were levied as of January 1, 2000. Property taxes were billed to finance governmental services for the year ended June 30, 2001. Liens are effective when the tax bills become delinquent. The collection period for these assessments was September 25, 2000 through April 21, 2001.

Note 4. Interest Income

The Grant County Sheriff earned \$8,189 as interest income on 2000 taxes. The Sheriff distributed the appropriate amount to the school districts as required by statute, and the remainder will be used to operate the Sheriff's office. As of July 9, 2001, the Sheriff owes \$467 in interest to his fee account.

Note 5. Sheriff's 10% Add-On Fee

The Grant County Sheriff collected \$33,637 of 10% add-on fees allowed by KRS 134.430(3). This amount will be used to operate the Sheriff's office.

Note 6. Advertising Costs And Fees

The Grant County Sheriff collected \$1,396 of advertising costs and \$349 of advertising fees allowed by KRS 424.330(1) and KRS 134.440(2). The Sheriff distributed the advertising costs to the county as required by statute, and the advertising fees will be used to operate the Sheriff's office.

Note 7. Unrefundable Duplicate Payments And Unexplained Receipts Should Be Escrowed

The Sheriff should deposit any unrefundable duplicate payments and unexplained receipts in an interest-bearing account. According to KRS 393.110, the Sheriff should properly report annually to the Treasury Department any unclaimed moneys. After seven years, if the funds have not been claimed, the funds should be submitted to the Kentucky State Treasurer. For the 2000 taxes, the Sheriff had \$1,883 in unrefundable duplicate payments and unexplained receipts. Therefore, the Sheriff should send a written report to the Treasury Department.

REPORT ON COMPLIANCE AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENT PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS



EDWARD B. HATCHETT, JR. AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

To the People of Kentucky
Honorable Paul E. Patton, Governor
T. Kevin Flanery, Secretary
Finance and Administration Cabinet
Dana Mayton, Secretary, Revenue Cabinet
Honorable Darrell Link, Grant County Judge/Executive
Honorable Randy Middleton, Grant County Sheriff
Members of the Grant County Fiscal Court

Report On Compliance And On Internal Control
Over Financial Reporting Based On An Audit Of The Financial
Statement Performed In Accordance With Government Auditing Standards

We have audited the Grant County Sheriff's Settlement - 2000 Taxes as of July 9, 2001, and have issued our report thereon dated November 2, 2001. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in <u>Government Auditing Standards</u> issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Compliance

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Grant County Sheriff's Settlement - 2000 Taxes as of July 9, 2001 is free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance that are required to be reported under Government Auditing Standards.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the Grant County Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statement and not to provide assurance on the internal control over financial reporting. Our consideration of the internal control over financial reporting would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control over financial reporting that might be material weaknesses. A material weakness is a condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that misstatements in amounts that would be material in relation to the financial statement being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. We noted no matters involving the internal control over financial reporting and its operation that we consider to be material weaknesses.

Report On Compliance And On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting Based On An Audit Of The Financial Statement Performed In Accordance With Government Auditing Standards (Continued)

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than the specified party.

Respectfully submitted,

Edward B. Hatchett, Jr. Auditor of Public Accounts

Audit fieldwork completed - November 2, 2001